OPTIMAL QUANTIZERS FOR PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS ON NONHOMOGENEOUS R-TRIANGLES

MRINAL KANTI ROYCHOWDHURY

Abstract. Quantization of a probability distribution refers to the idea of estimating a given probability by a discrete probability supported by a finite set. In this paper, we have considered a Borel probability measure $P$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ which has support the R-triangle generated by a set of three contractive similarity mappings on $\mathbb{R}^2$. For this probability measure, the optimal sets of $n$-means and the $n$th quantization error are determined for all $n \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

Optimal quantization is a fundamental problem in signal processing, data compression and information theory. We refer to [GG, GN, Z] for surveys on the subject and comprehensive lists of references to the literature, see also [AW, GKL, GL1]. For mathematical treatment of quantization one is referred to Graf-Luschgy’s book (see [GL2]). For most recent work about quantization for uniform distribution interested readers can also see [DR]. Let $\mathbb{R}^d$ denote the $d$-dimensional Euclidean space, $\| \cdot \|$ denote the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for any $d \geq 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the $n$th quantization error for a Borel probability measure $P$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by

$$V_n := V_n(P) = \inf \left\{ \int_{a \in \alpha} \min_{b \in \alpha} \| x - a \|^2 dP(x) : \alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \ \text{card}(\alpha) \leq n \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all subsets $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\text{card}(\alpha) \leq n$. If $\int \| x \|^2 dP(x) < \infty$ then there is some set $\alpha$ for which the infimum is achieved (see [AW, GKL, GL1, GL2]). Such a set $\alpha$ for which the infimum occurs and contains no more than $n$ points is called an optimal set of $n$-means, or optimal set of $n$-quantizers. The elements of an optimal set are called optimal points. The collection of all optimal sets of $n$-means for a probability measure $P$ is denoted by $C_n := C_n(P)$. If $\alpha$ is a finite set, in general, the error $\int \min_{a \in \alpha} \| x - a \|^2 dP(x)$ is often referred to as the cost or distortion error for $\alpha$, and is denoted by $V(P; \alpha)$. Thus, $V_n := V_n(P) = \inf \{ V(P; \alpha) : \alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \ \text{card}(\alpha) \leq n \}$. It is known that for a continuous probability measure an optimal set of $n$-means always has exactly $n$-elements (see [GL2]). The number

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2 \log n}{-\log V_n(P)},$$

if it exists, is called the quantization dimension of the probability measure $P$. Quantization dimension measures the speed at which the specified measure of the error tends to zero as $n$ approaches to infinity. Given a finite subset $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the Voronoi region generated by $a \in \alpha$ is defined by

$$M(a|\alpha) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \| x - a \| = \min_{b \in \alpha} \| x - b \| \}$$

i.e., the Voronoi region generated by $a \in \alpha$ is the set of all points $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $a$ is a nearest point to $x$ in $\alpha$, and the set $\{ M(a|\alpha) : a \in \alpha \}$ is called the Voronoi diagram or Voronoi tessellation of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to $\alpha$. A Voronoi tessellation is called a centroidal
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Voronoi tessellation (CVT), if the generators of the tessellation are also the centroids of their own Voronoi regions with respect to the probability measure $P$. A Borel measurable partition \( \{ A_a : a \in \alpha \} \), where \( \alpha \) is an index set, of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is called a Voronoi partition of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) if \( A_a \subset M(a|\alpha) \) for every \( a \in \alpha \). Let us now state the following proposition (see [GG, GL2]):

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \( \alpha \) be an optimal set of \( n \)-means and \( a \in \alpha \). Then,

(i) \( P(M(a|\alpha)) > 0 \), (ii) \( P(\partial M(a|\alpha)) = 0 \), (iii) \( a = E(X : X \in M(a|\alpha)) \), and (iv) \( P \)-almost surely the set \( \{ M(a|\alpha) : a \in \alpha \} \) forms a Voronoi partition of \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

Let \( \alpha \) be an optimal set of \( n \)-means and \( a \in \alpha \), then by Proposition 1.1, we have

\[
a = \frac{1}{P(M(a|\alpha))} \int_{M(a|\alpha)} xdP = \frac{\int_{M(a|\alpha)} xdP}{\int_{M(a|\alpha)} dP},
\]

which implies that \( a \) is the centroid of the Voronoi region \( M(a|\alpha) \) associated with the probability measure \( P \) (see also [DFG, R]).

Let \( P \) be a Borel probability measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) given by \( P = \frac{1}{2}P \circ S^{-1}_1 + \frac{1}{2}P \circ S^{-1}_2 \), where \( S_1(x) = \frac{1}{3}x \) and \( S_2(x) = \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3} \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, \( P \) has support the classical Cantor set \( C \). For this probability measure Graf and Luschgy gave a closed formula to determine the optimal sets of \( n \)-means and the \( n \)th quantization error for all \( n \geq 2 \); they also proved that the quantization dimension of this distribution exists and is equal to the Hausdorff dimension \( \beta := \log 2/\log 3 \) of the Cantor set, but the \( \beta \)-dimensional quantization coefficient does not exist (see [GL3]). Let us now consider a set of three contractive similarity mappings \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), such that \( S_1(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{3}(x_1, x_2), S_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{3}(x_1, x_2) + \frac{2}{3}(1, 0), \) and \( S_3(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1, x_2) + \frac{3}{4}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}) \) for all \( (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). Let \( R \) be the limit set of these contractive mappings. We call it the \( R \)-triangle generated by the contractive mappings \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \). Let \( P = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=1}^3 P \circ S^{-1}_j \). Then, \( P \) is a unique Borel probability measure on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with support the \( R \)-triangle generated by \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \). We call it as \( R \)-measure. For this \( R \)-measure, Còmez and Roychowdhury determined the optimal sets of \( n \)-means and the \( n \)th quantization error for all \( n \geq 2 \). In addition, they showed that the quantization dimension of the \( R \)-measure exists which is equal to one, and it coincides with the Hausdorff dimension of the \( R \)-triangle, the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of the \( R \)-measure, i.e., all these dimensions are equal to one. Moreover, it was shown that the \( s \)-dimensional quantization coefficient for \( s = 1 \) of the \( R \)-measure does not exist (see [CR]).

In this paper, we have considered a set of three contractive similarity mappings \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), such that \( S_1(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{3}(x_1, x_2), S_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{3}(x_1, x_2) + \frac{2}{3}(1, 0), \) and \( S_3(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}) \) for all \( (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \). Let \( R \) be the limit set of these contractive mappings. We call it as a *nonhomogeneous R-triangle* generated by the contractive mappings \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \). The term ‘nonhomogeneous’ is used to mean that the basic triangles at each level in the construction of the \( R \)-triangle are not of equal shape. Let \( P = \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_2^{-1} + \frac{1}{3}P \circ S_3^{-1} \). Then, \( P \) is a unique Borel probability measure on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with support the nonhomogeneous \( R \)-triangle generated by \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \). We call it as \( R \)-measure or more specifically *nonhomogeneous R-measure*. For this \( R \)-measure, in Theorem 3.10, we state and prove an induction formula to determine the optimal sets of \( n \)-means for all \( n \geq 2 \). Once the optimal sets are known, the corresponding quantization errors can easily be obtained. We also give some figures to illustrate the locations of the optimal points (see Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3). In addition, using the induction formula we obtain some results and observations about the optimal sets of \( n \)-means which are given in Section 4; a tree diagram of the optimal sets of \( n \)-means for a certain range of \( n \) is also given (see Figure 4).
2. Basic definitions and lemmas

In this section, we give the basic definitions and lemmas that will be instrumental in our analysis. By a string or a word $\omega$ over an alphabet $I := \{1, 2, 3\}$, we mean a finite sequence $\omega := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k$ of symbols from the alphabet, where $k \geq 1$, and $k$ is called the length of the word $\omega$. A word of length zero is called the empty word, and is denoted by $\emptyset$. By $I^*$ we denote the set of all words over the alphabet $I$ of some finite length $k$ including the empty word $\emptyset$. By $|\omega|$, we denote the length of a word $\omega \in I^*$. For any two words $\omega := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k$ and $\tau := \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_\ell$ in $I^*$, by $\omega \tau := \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_\ell$ we mean the word obtained from the concatenation of $\omega$ and $\tau$. As defined in the previous section, the maps $S_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are the generating maps of the R-triangle with similarity ratios $s_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ respectively, and $P = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i P \circ S_i^{-1}$ is the probability distribution, where $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{4}$, $s_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{1}{5}$ and $p_3 = \frac{3}{5}$. For $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k \in I^k$, set $S_\omega := S_{\omega_1} \circ S_{\omega_2} \circ \cdots \circ S_{\omega_k}$, $s_\omega := s_{\omega_1} s_{\omega_2} \cdots s_{\omega_k}$ and $p_\omega := p_{\omega_1} p_{\omega_2} \cdots p_{\omega_k}$. Let $\Delta$ be the equilateral triangle with vertices $(0,0)$, $(1,0)$ and $(1/2, \sqrt{3}/2)$. The sets $\{\Delta_\omega : \omega \in I^k\}$ are just the $3^k$ triangles in the $k$th level in the construction of the R-triangle. The triangles $\Delta_{\omega_1}$, $\Delta_{\omega_2}$ and $\Delta_{\omega_3}$ into which $\Delta_{\omega}$ is split up at the $(k+1)$th level are called the basic triangles of $\Delta_{\omega}$. The set $\mathcal{R} := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\omega \in I^k} \Delta_{\omega}$ is the R-triangle and equals the support of the probability measure $P$. For $\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \cdots \omega_k \in I^k$, let us write $c(\omega) := |\{i : \omega_i = 3, 1 \leq i \leq k\}|$. Then, we have

$$P(\Delta_\omega) = p_\omega = \frac{3^{c(\omega)}}{5^{|\omega|}} \text{ and } s_\omega = \frac{2^{c(\omega)}}{4^{|\omega|}}.$$ 

Let us now give the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be Borel measurable and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\int f \ dP = \sum_{\omega \in I^k} p_\omega \int f \circ S_\omega \ dP.$$

**Proof.** We know $P = \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i P \circ S_i^{-1}$, and so by induction $P = \sum_{\omega \in I^k} p_\omega P \circ S_\omega^{-1}$, and thus the lemma is yielded. \hfill \Box

Let $S_{(11)}$, $S_{(12)}$ be the horizontal and vertical components of the transformations $S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then, for any $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have $S_{(11)}(x_1) = \frac{1}{4} x_1$, $S_{(12)}(x_2) = \frac{1}{4} x_2$, $S_{(21)}(x_1) = \frac{3}{4} x_1 + \frac{1}{4}$, $S_{(22)}(x_2) = \frac{1}{4} x_2$, $S_{(31)}(x_1) = \frac{1}{4} x_1 + \frac{1}{4}$, and $S_{(32)}(x_2) = \frac{3}{4} x_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$. Let $X := (X_1, X_2)$ be a bivariate continuous random variable with distribution $P$. Let $P_1, P_2$ be the marginal distributions of $P$, i.e., $P_1(A) = P(A \times \mathbb{R}) = P \circ \pi_1^{-1}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$, and $P_2(B) = P(\mathbb{R} \times B) = P \circ \pi_2^{-1}(B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\pi_1, \pi_2$ are two projection mappings given by $\pi_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ and $\pi_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2$ for all $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $X_1$ has distribution $P_1$ and $X_2$ has distribution $P_2$.

The statement below provides the connection between $P$ and its marginal distributions via the components of the generating maps $S_i$. The proof is similar to Lemma 2.2 in [CR].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $P_1$ and $P_2$ be the marginal distributions of the probability measure $P$. Then,

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{3} P_1 \circ S_{(11)}^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} P_1 \circ S_{(21)}^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} P_1 \circ S_{(31)}^{-1} \text{ and } P_2 = \frac{1}{3} P_2 \circ S_{(12)}^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} P_2 \circ S_{(22)}^{-1} + \frac{1}{3} P_2 \circ S_{(32)}^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $E(X)$ and $V(X)$ denote the the expected vector and the expected squared distance of the random variable $X$. Then,

$$E(X) = (E(X_1), E(X_2)) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\right) \text{ and } V := V(X) = E\|X - (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4})\|^2 = \frac{27}{176}.$$
with \( V(X_1) = \frac{3}{44} \) and \( V(X_2) = \frac{15}{176} \).

**Proof.** We have
\[
E(X_1) = \int x_1 dP_1 = \frac{1}{5} \int x_1 dP_1 \circ S^{-1}_{(1)} + \frac{1}{5} \int x_1 dP_1 \circ S^{-1}_{(2)} + \frac{3}{5} \int x_1 dP_1 \circ S^{-1}_{(3)}
\]
which implies \( E(X_1) = \frac{1}{2} \) and similarly, one can show that \( E(X_2) = \frac{3}{4} \). Now
\[
E(X_2^2) = \int x_2^2 dP_1 = \frac{1}{5} \int x_1^2 dP_1 \circ S^{-1}_{(1)} + \frac{1}{5} \int x_1^2 dP_1 \circ S^{-1}_{(2)} + \frac{3}{5} \int x_1^2 dP_1 \circ S^{-1}_{(3)}
\]
which implies \( E(X_2^2) = \frac{7}{22} \). Similarly, one can show that \( E(X_2^2) = \frac{3}{4} \). Thus, we see that \( V(X_1) = E(X_2^2) - (E(X_1))^2 = \frac{7}{22} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{44} \) and likewise \( V(X_2) = \frac{15}{176} \). Hence,
\[
E\|X - (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3})\|^2 = \int \int \mathbb{R}^2 \left( (x_1 - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (x_2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4})^2 \right) dP(x_1, x_2)
\]
which completes the proof of the lemma.

Let us now give the following note.

**Note 2.4.** From Lemma 2.3 it follows that the optimal set of one-mean is the expected vector and the corresponding quantization error is the expected squared distance \( V \) of the random variable \( X \). For words \( \beta, \gamma, \ldots, \delta \) in \( I^* \), by \( a(\beta, \gamma, \ldots, \delta) \) we mean the conditional expected squared distance of the random variable \( X \) given \( \triangle_\beta \cup \triangle_\gamma \cup \cdots \cup \triangle_\delta \), i.e.,
\[
(1) \quad a(\beta, \gamma, \ldots, \delta) = E(X|X \in \triangle_\beta \cup \triangle_\gamma \cup \cdots \cup \triangle_\delta) = \frac{1}{P(\triangle_\beta \cup \cdots \cup \triangle_\delta)} \int_{\triangle_\beta \cup \cdots \cup \triangle_\delta} x dP.
\]
For \( \omega \in I^k \), \( k \geq 1 \), since \( a(\omega) = E(X : X \in J_\omega) \), using Lemma 2.1 we have
\[
a(\omega) = \frac{1}{P(\triangle_\omega)} \int_{\triangle_\omega} x dP(x) = \int_{\triangle_\omega} x dP \circ S^{-1}_\omega(x) &= \int S_\omega(x) dP(x) = E(S_\omega(X)) = S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}).
\]
For any \( (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), \( E\|X - (a, b)\|^2 = V + \|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} - (a, b)\|^2 \). In fact, for any \( \omega \in I^k \), \( k \geq 1 \), we have \( \int_{\triangle_\omega} \|x - (a, b)\|^2 dP = p_\omega \int \|x_1, x_2\|^2 dP \circ S^{-1}_\omega \), which implies
\[
(2) \quad \int_{\triangle_\omega} \|x - (a, b)\|^2 dP = p_\omega \left( s^2_\omega V + \|a(\omega) - (a, b)\|^2 \right).
\]
The expressions \([1]\) and \([2]\) are useful to obtain the optimal sets and the corresponding quantization errors with respect to the probability distribution \( P \). Notice that with respect to the median passing through the vertex \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}) \), the R-triangle has the maximum symmetry, i.e., with respect to the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \) the R-triangle is geometrically symmetric. Also, observe that, if the two basic rectangles of similar geometrical shape lie in the opposite sides of the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), and are equidistant from the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), then they have the same probability (see Figure 1)
Figure 1. Optimal configuration of $n$ points for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.

Figure 2. Optimal configuration of $n$ points for $n = 7$.

Figure 3. Optimal configuration of $n$ points for $n = 8$.

Figure 2 or Figure 3); hence, they are symmetric with respect to the probability distribution $P$ as well.

In the next section, we determine the optimal sets of $n$-means for all $n \geq 2$.

3. **Optimal sets of $n$-means for all $n \geq 2$**

In this section let us first prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** The set $\alpha = \{a(1, 2), a(3)\}$, where $a(1, 2) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16})$ and $a(3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8})$, is an optimal set of two-means with quantization error $V_2 = \frac{117}{1408} = 0.0830966$. 
Proof. Let us consider the set of two points \( \beta \) given by \( \beta := \{a(1, 2), a(3)\} = \{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8})\} \). Then, \( \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \subset M((a(1, 2)|\beta) \) and \( \Delta_3 \subset M((a(3)|\beta) \), and so the distortion error due to the set \( \beta \) is given by

\[
\int_{b \in \beta} \min_{x \in \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \|x - b\|^2dP = \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \|x - a(1, 2)\|^2dP + \int_{\Delta_3} \|x - a(3)\|^2dP = \frac{117}{1408} = 0.0830966.
\]

Since \( V_2 \) is the quantization error for two-means, we have \( V_2 \leq 0.0830966 \). Due to maximum symmetry of the R-triangle with respect to the vertical line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), among all the pairs of two points which have the boundaries of the Voronoi regions oblique lines passing through the centroid \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}) \), the two points which have the boundary of the Voronoi regions the vertical line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \) will give the smallest distortion error. Let \((a, b)\) and \((c, d)\) be the centroids of the left and right half of the \( R \) triangle with respect to the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \). Then, writing \( A := \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_{31} \cup \Delta_{331} \cup \Delta_{3331} \cup \cdots \) and \( B := \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_{32} \cup \Delta_{332} \cup \Delta_{3332} \cup \cdots \), we have

\[
(a, b) = E(X : X \in A) = (\frac{2}{7}, 0.433013), \quad \text{and} \quad (c, d) = E(X : X \in B) = (\frac{5}{7}, 0.433013),
\]

which yield the distortion error as

\[
\int_{c \in \{a(b), (c, d)\}} \min_{x \in \Delta} \|x - c\|^2dP = \int_A \|x - (a, b)\|^2dP + \int_B \|x - (c, d)\|^2dP = \frac{927}{8624} = 0.107491.
\]

Notice that \( 0.107491 > V_2 \), and so the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \) can not be the boundary of the two points in an optimal set of two-means, in other words, we can assume that the points in an optimal set of two-points lie on a vertical line. Let \( \alpha := \{(p, b_1), (p, b_2)\} \) be an optimal set of two-means with \( b_1 \leq b_2 \). Since the optimal points are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions, we have \( \alpha \subset \Delta \). Moreover, by the properties of centroids, we have

\[
(p, b_1)P(M((p, b_1)|\alpha)) + (p, b_2)P(M((p, b_2)|\alpha)) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}),
\]

which implies \( p = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( b_1P(M((p, b_1)|\alpha)) + b_2P(M((p, b_2)|\alpha)) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \). Thus, it follows that the two optimal points are \((\frac{1}{2}, b_1)\) and \((\frac{1}{2}, b_2)\), and they lie in the opposite sides of the point \((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4})\), and so we have \( \alpha = \{(\frac{1}{2}, b_1), (\frac{1}{2}, b_2)\} \) with \( 0 < b_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq b_2 < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \). If the Voronoi region of the point \((\frac{1}{2}, b_2)\) contains points from the region below the line \( x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \), in other words, if it contains points from \( \Delta_1 \) or \( \Delta_2 \), we must have \( \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2) < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \) implying \( b_1 < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq b_2 \leq 0 \), which yields a contradiction. So, we can assume that the Voronoi region of \((\frac{1}{2}, b_2)\) does not contain any point below the line \( x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \). Again, \( E(X : X \in \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16}) \) and \( E(X : X \in \Delta_3) = a(3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}) \), and so \( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \leq b_1 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \leq b_2 < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \). Notice that \( b_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \) implies \( \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8} \), and so \( \Delta_{33} \subset M((\frac{1}{2}, b_2)|\alpha) \) yielding \( b_2 \leq \frac{7\sqrt{3}}{16} \).

Suppose that \( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq b_2 \leq \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \). Then, if \( \frac{1}{2} \leq b_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \),

\[
\int_{c \in \alpha} \min_{x \in \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_{313} \cup \Delta_{333}} \|x - c\|^2dP \geq \int_{\Delta_3 \cup \Delta_{313} \cup \Delta_{333}} \min_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq b_2 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}} \|x - (\frac{1}{2}, b_2)\|^2dP + \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \min_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq b_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}} \|x - (\frac{1}{2}, b_1)\|^2dP \geq 0.0937031 > V_2,
\]

which is a contradiction, and if \( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16} \leq b_1 \leq \frac{1}{4} \), then

\[
\int_{c \in \alpha} \min_{x \in \Delta_3} \|x - c\|^2dP \geq \int_{\Delta_3} \min_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \leq b_2 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}} \|x - (\frac{1}{2}, b_2)\|^2dP + \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \min_{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{16} \leq b_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}} \|x - (\frac{1}{2}, b_1)\|^2dP \geq 0.0901278 > V_2,
\]
which leads to another contradiction. Thus, we see that \( \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \leq b_2 \leq \frac{7\sqrt{3}}{16} \). We now show that \( P \)-almost surely the Voronoi region of \( \frac{1}{2}, b_1 \) does not contain any point from \( \Delta_3 \). For the sake of contradiction, assume that \( P \)-almost surely the Voronoi region of \( \frac{1}{2}, b_1 \) contains points from \( \Delta_3 \). Then, \( \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_2) > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \) which implies \( b_1 > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - b_2 \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{16} \), i.e., \( \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16} < b_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \). Then,

\[
\int \min_{c \in \alpha} \|x - c\|^2 dP \\
\geq \int_{\Delta_3} \|x - a(33)\|^2 dP + \int_{\Delta_{313} \cup \Delta_{323}} \|x - a(313,323)\|^2 dP + \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \min_{\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16} < b_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}} \|x - (\frac{1}{2}, b_1)\|^2 dP \\
\geq \frac{246219}{2816000} = 0.0874357 > V_2,
\]

which leads to a contradiction. Thus, we can assume that the Voronoi region of \( \frac{1}{2}, b_1 \) does not contain any point from \( \Delta_3 \) yielding \( \frac{1}{2}, b_1 = a(1,2) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{8}) \) and \( \frac{1}{2}, b_2 = a(3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}) \). Hence, the set \( \alpha = \{a(1,2), a(3)\} \) is an optimal set of two-means with quantization error \( V_2 = \frac{117}{1408} = 0.0830966 \), which is the proposition.

\[\square\]

**Remark 3.2.** The set \( \alpha \) in the above proposition is a unique optimal set of two-means.

Let us now prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( \alpha \) be an optimal set of three-means. Then \( \alpha = \{a(1), a(2), a(3)\} \) and \( V_3 = \frac{180}{7040} = 0.0268466 \), where \( a(1) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}) \), \( a(2) = (\frac{7}{8}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{16}) \), and \( a(3) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}) \). Moreover, the Voronoi region of the point \( a_3 \cap \Delta_i \) does not contain any point from \( \Delta_j \) for all \( 1 \leq j \neq i \leq 3 \).

**Proof.** Let us consider the three-point set \( \beta \) given by \( \beta = \{a(1), a(2), a(3)\} \). Then, the distortion error is given by

\[
\int \min_{a \in \alpha} \|x - a\|^2 dP = 3 \int_{\Delta_i} \|x - a(i)\|^2 dP = \frac{180}{7040} = 0.0268466.
\]

Since \( V_3 \) is the quantization error for three-means, we have \( V_3 \leq 0.0268466 \). Let \( \alpha \) be an optimal set of three-means. As the optimal points are the centroids of their own Voronoi regions we have \( \alpha \subset \Delta \). Write \( \alpha := \{(a_i, b_i) : 1 \leq i \leq 3\} \). Since \( (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}) \) is the centroid of the R-triangle, we have

\[
3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} (a_i, b_i) P(M((a_i, b_i)|\alpha)) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}).
\]

Suppose \( \alpha \) does not contain any point from \( \Delta_3 \). Then, \( b_i < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq 3 \) implying \( \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i P(M((a_i, b_i)|\alpha)) < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{3} P(M((a_i, b_i)|\alpha)) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \), which contradicts (3). So, we can assume that \( \alpha \) contains a point from \( \Delta_3 \). If \( \alpha \) contains only one point from \( \Delta \setminus \Delta_3 \), due to symmetry we can assume that the point lies on the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), and so

\[
\int \min_{c \in \alpha} \|x - c\|^2 dP \geq \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \min_{c \in \alpha} \|x - c\|^2 dP \geq \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \|x - a(1,2)\|^2 dP \geq \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} \|x - a(1,2)\|^2 dP \\
= \frac{423}{7040} = 0.0600852 > V_3,
\]

which leads to a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that if \( \alpha \) does not contain any point from \( \Delta \setminus \Delta_3 \) a contradiction will arise. Thus, we conclude that \( \alpha \) contains only one point from \( \Delta_3 \) and two points from \( \Delta \setminus \Delta_3 \). Due to symmetry of the R-triangle with respect to the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), we can assume that the point of \( \alpha \cap \Delta_3 \) lies on the line \( x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \), and the two points
of $\alpha \cap (\Delta \setminus \Delta_3)$, say $(a, b)$ and $(c, d)$, are symmetrically distributed over the triangle $\Delta$ with respect to the line $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $(a, b)$ and $(c, d)$ lie to the left and right of the line $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ respectively. Notice that $\Delta_1 \subset M((a, b)\mid \alpha)$, $\Delta_2 \subset M((c, d)\mid \alpha)$, and the Voronoi regions of $(a, b)$ and $(c, d)$ do not contain any point from $\Delta_3$. If $P$-almost surely the Voronoi region of $(a, b)$ does not contain any point from $\Delta_3$, we have $(a, b) = a(1) = (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16})$. Notice that the point of $\Delta_3\alpha$ closest to $(\frac{1}{8}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{16})$ is $S_3(0, 0)$. Suppose that $P$ almost surely the Voronoi region of $(a, b)$ contains points from $\Delta_3\alpha$. Then, for some $k > 1$, may be large enough, we must have $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_3 k \subset M((a, b)\mid \alpha)$, where $1^k$ is the word obtained from $k$ times concatenation of 1. Without any loss of generality, for calculation simplicity, take $k = 4$. Then, due to symmetry, we have $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_{3111} \subset M((a, b)\mid \alpha)$, $\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_{3222} \subset M((c, d)\mid \alpha)$. Write $A := \Delta_3 \setminus (\Delta_{3111} \cup \Delta_{3222}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \Delta_3 \cup \Delta_{313} \cup \Delta_{3113} \cup \Delta_{3113} \cup \Delta_{312} \cup \Delta_{312} \cup \Delta_{3112} \cup \Delta_{3121} \cup \Delta_{3211} \cup \Delta_{321} \cup \Delta_{3221} \cup \Delta_{3111} \cup \Delta_{3222}$. Then, the distortion error is

$$\int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_{3111}} \min_{c \in \alpha} \|x - c\|^2 dP = 2 \int_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_{3111}} \|x - a(1, 31111)\|^2 dP + \int_A \|x - E(X : X \in A)\|^2 dP$$

$$= \frac{30315288636117}{1128184938496000} = 0.0268709 > V_3,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Thus, we can conclude that the Voronoi regions of $(a, b)$ and $(c, d)$ do not contain any point from $\Delta_3$. Hence, the optimal set of three-means is $\{a(1), a(2), a(3)\}$ and the quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{180}{7040} = 0.0268466$. By finding the perpendicular bisectors of the line segments joining the points in $\alpha_3$, we can see that the perpendicular bisector of the line segments joining the points $\alpha_3 \cap \Delta_i$ and $\alpha_3 \cap \Delta_j$ does not intersect any of $\Delta_i$ or $\Delta_j$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Thus, the Voronoi region of the point $\alpha_3 \cap \Delta_i$ does not contain any point from $\Delta_j$ for all $1 \leq j \neq i \leq 3$. Hence, the proof of the proposition is complete. $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $\alpha_n$ be an optimal set of $n$-means for all $n \geq 3$. Then, (i) $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$, (ii) $\alpha_n$ does not contain any point from $\Delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3)$, and (iii) the Voronoi region of any points in $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_i$ does not contain any point from $\Delta_j$ for all $1 \leq j \neq i \leq 3$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha_n$ be an optimal set of $n$-means for $n \geq 3$. By Proposition 3.3, we see that the proposition is true for $n = 3$. We now show that the proposition is true for $n \geq 4$. Consider the set of four points $\beta := \{a(1), a(2), a(31, 32), a(33)\}$. Since $V_n$ is the quantization error for $n$-means for $n \geq 4$, we have

$$V_n \leq V_4 \leq \int_{b \in \beta} \min_{b \in \beta} \|x - b\|^2 dP = \frac{459}{28160} = 0.0162997.$$

If $\alpha_n$ does not contain any point from $\Delta_3$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{\Delta_3} \min_{(a, b) \in \alpha} \|(x_1, x_2) - (a, b)\|^2 dP \geq \left\|\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\right)\right\|^2 P(\Delta_3) = \frac{27}{1600},$$

implying $V_n \geq \frac{27}{1600} = 0.016875 > V_n$, which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_3 \neq \emptyset$. If $\alpha_n \subset \Delta_3$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{\Delta_3} \min_{(a, b) \in \alpha_n} \|(x_1, x_2) - (a, b)\|^2 dP \geq 2\|S_1(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}) - S_3(0, 0)\|^2 P(\Delta_1) = \frac{1}{40} = 0.025 > V_n,$$

which gives a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\alpha_n$ contains points below the horizontal line $x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$. If $\alpha_n$ contains only one point below the line $x_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$, then due to symmetry the
point must lie on the line $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, and so

$$\int_{\Delta_{133}\cup\Delta_{131}} \| (x_1, x_2) - S_3(0, 0) \|^2 dP + \int_{\Delta_{12}\cup\Delta_{21}} \| (x_1, x_2) - a(12, 21) \|^2 dP = 0.0233299 > V_n,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\alpha_n$ contains at least two points below the line $x_2 = \frac{3}{4}$, and then due to symmetry between the two points, one point will belong to $\Delta_1$ and one point will belong to $\Delta_2$. Thus, we see that $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$, which completes the proof of (i). We now show that $\alpha_n$ does not contain any point from $\Delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3)$. If $\alpha_n$ contains only one point from $\Delta \setminus (\Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3)$, then due to symmetry the point must lie on the line $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, but as $\alpha_n$ contains points from both $\Delta_1$ and $\Delta_2$, the Voronoi region of any point on the line $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ cannot contain any point from $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, which leads to a contradiction. If $\alpha_n$ contains two points from $\Delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3)$, then due to symmetry quantization error can be strictly reduced by moving one point to $\Delta_1$ and one point to $\Delta_2$. If $\alpha_n$ contains three or more points from $\Delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3)$, by redistributing the points among $\Delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, the quantization error can be strictly reduced. Thus, $\alpha_n$ does not contain any point from $\Delta \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3)$ yielding the proof of (ii). Since $n \geq 3$, for any $(a, b) \in \alpha_n \cap \Delta_i$, the Voronoi region of $(a, b)$ is contained in the Voronoi region of $\alpha_3 \cap \Delta_i$, and by Proposition 3.3 the Voronoi region of $\alpha_3 \cap \Delta_i$ does not contain any point from $\Delta_j$ for $1 \leq j \neq i \leq 3$, we can say that the Voronoi region of the point from $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_i$ does not contain any point from $\Delta_j$ for $1 \leq j \neq i \leq 3$ which is (iii). Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete.

The following lemma is also true here.

**Lemma 3.5.** (see [CR Lemma 3.7]) Let $P = \sum_{\omega \in I^k} \frac{1}{3^n} P \circ S^{-1}_\omega$ for some $k \geq 1$. Let $\alpha$ be an optimal set of $n$-means for the $R$-measure $P$. Then, \{ $S\omega (a) : a \in \alpha$ \} is an optimal set of $n$-means for the image measure $P \circ S^{-1}_\omega$. The converse is also true: If $\beta$ is an optimal set of $n$-means for the image measure $P \circ S^{-1}_\omega$, then \{ $S^{-1}_\omega (a) : a \in \beta$ \} is an optimal set of $n$-means for $P$.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $\alpha_n$ be an optimal set of $n$-means for $n \geq 3$. Then, for $c \in \alpha_n$ either $c = a(\omega)$ or $c = a(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ for some $\omega \in I^*$.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha_n$ be an optimal set of $n$-means for $n \geq 3$ and $c \in \alpha_n$. Then, by Proposition 3.4 we see that either $c \in \alpha_n \cap \Delta_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $c \in \alpha_n \cap \Delta_1$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1) = 1$, then by Lemma 3.5, $S^{-1}_1(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1)$ is an optimal set of one-mean yielding $c = S_1(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}) = a(1)$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1) = 2$, then by Lemma 3.5 $S^{-1}_1(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1)$ is an optimal set of two-means, i.e., $S^{-1}_1(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1) = \{ a(1, 2), a(3) \}$ yielding $c = a(11, 12)$ or $c = a(13)$. Similarly, if $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1) = 3$, then $c = a(11), a(12)$, or $c = a(13)$. Let $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1) \geq 4$. Then, as similarity mappings preserve the ratio of the distances of a point from any other two points, using Proposition 3.3, again we have $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_{i1} = \alpha_n \cap \Delta_{i1} \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $\alpha_n \cap \Delta_1 = \cup_{i=1}^3 (\alpha_n \cap \Delta_{i1})$. Without any loss of generality assume that $c \in \alpha_n \cap \Delta_{11}$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_{11}) = 1$, then $c = a(11)$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_{11}) = 2$, then $c = a(111, 112)$ or $c = a(113)$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_{11}) = 3$, then $c = a(111), a(112)$, or $c = a(113)$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_{11}) \geq 4$, then proceeding inductively in the similar way, we can find a word $\omega \in I^*$ with $11 < \omega$, such that $c \in \alpha_n \cap \Delta_\omega$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_\omega) = 1$, then $c = a(\omega)$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_\omega) = 2$, then $c = a(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ or $a(\omega_3)$. If $\operatorname{card}(\alpha_n \cap \Delta_\omega) = 3$, then $c = a(\omega_1), a(\omega_2)$, or $a(\omega_3)$. Thus, the proof of the proposition is yielded.

**Note 3.7.** Let $\alpha$ be an optimal set of $n$-means for some $n \geq 2$. Then, by Proposition 3.6 for $a \in \alpha$ we have $P$-almost surely, $M(a|\alpha) = \Delta_\omega$ if $a = a(\omega)$, and $M(a|\alpha) = \Delta_{\omega_1} \cup \Delta_{\omega_2}$ if...
Lemma 3.8. For any $\omega \in I^*$, let $E(\omega)$ and $E(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ be defined by \([1]\). Then, $E(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \frac{47}{18}E(\omega_3) = \frac{47}{120}E(\omega)$, and $E(\omega_1) = E(\omega_2) = \frac{1}{12}E(\omega_3) = \frac{47}{80}E(\omega)$. 

Proof. By \([2]\), we have

$$
E(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \frac{1}{\Delta_{\omega_1} + \Delta_{\omega_2}} \int_{\Delta_{\omega_1} \cup \Delta_{\omega_2}} \|x - a(\omega_1,\omega_2)\|^2 dP
$$

$$
= p_\omega(s^2_\omega V + \|a(\omega_1) - a(\omega_1,\omega_2)\|^2) + p_\omega(s^2_\omega V + \|a(\omega_2) - a(\omega_1,\omega_2)\|^2).
$$

Notice that

$$
\|a(\omega_1) - a(\omega_1,\omega_2)\|^2 = \|S_\omega (\frac{1}{2}, 3/4) - \frac{1}{2} (S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}, 3/4) + S_\omega(\frac{1}{2}, 3/4))\|^2
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4} \|S_\omega (1/2, 3/4) - S_\omega (1/2, 3/4)\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} s^2_\omega \|S_\omega (1/2, 3/4) - S_\omega (1/2, 3/4)\|^2 = \frac{9}{64} s^2_\omega,
$$

and similarly, $\|a(\omega_2) - a(\omega_1,\omega_2)\|^2 = \frac{9}{64} s^2_\omega$. Thus, we obtain,

$$
E(\omega_1,\omega_2) = p_\omega(s^2_\omega V + \frac{9}{64} s^2_\omega) + p_\omega(s^2_\omega V + \frac{9}{64} s^2_\omega) = p_\omega s^2_\omega V(p_1 s^2_1 + p_2 s^2_2) + \frac{9}{64} p_\omega s^2_\omega (p_1 + p_2)
$$

yielding $E(\omega_1,\omega_2) = p_\omega s^2_\omega V \frac{47}{120} E(\omega)$. Since $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, we have $E(\omega_1) = p_\omega s^2_\omega V = \frac{1}{80} p_\omega s^2_\omega V = E(\omega_2)$. Again, $E(\omega_3) = p_\omega s^2_\omega V = E(\omega)$. Hence,

$$
E(\omega_1,\omega_2) = \frac{47}{18} E(\omega_3) = \frac{47}{120} E(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\omega_1) = E(\omega_2) = \frac{1}{12} E(\omega_3) = \frac{1}{80} E(\omega),
$$

which is the lemma. □

The following lemma plays an important role to prove the main theorem of the paper.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\omega, \tau \in I^*$. Then

(i) $E(\omega) > E(\tau)$ if and only if $E(\omega_1,\omega_2) + E(\omega_3) + E(\tau) < E(\omega) + E(\tau_1,\tau_2) + E(\tau_3)$;

(ii) $E(\omega) > E(\tau_1,\tau_2)$ if and only if $E(\omega_1,\omega_2) + E(\omega_3) + E(\tau_1,\tau_2) < E(\omega) + E(\tau_1) + E(\tau_2)$;

(iii) $E(\omega_1,\omega_2) > E(\tau)$ if and only if $E(\omega_1) + E(\omega_2) + E(\tau_1,\tau_2) < E(\omega_1,\omega_2) + E(\tau_1,\tau_2) + E(\tau_3)$;

(iv) $E(\omega_1,\omega_2) > E(\tau_1,\tau_2)$ if and only if $E(\omega_1) + E(\omega_2) + E(\tau_1,\tau_2) < E(\omega_1,\omega_2) + E(\tau_1) + E(\tau_2)$;

where for any $\omega \in I^*$, $E(\omega)$ and $E(\omega_1,\omega_2)$ are defined by \([4]\).

Proof. To prove (i), using Lemma 3.8, we see that

$$
LHS = E(\omega_1,\omega_2) + E(\omega_3) + E(\tau) = \frac{47}{120} E(\omega) + \frac{3}{20} E(\omega) + \frac{13}{24} E(\omega) + E(\tau),
$$

$$
RHS = E(\omega) + E(\tau_1,\tau_2) + E(\tau_3) = E(\omega) + \frac{13}{24} E(\tau).
$$

Thus, $LHS < RHS$ if and only if $\frac{13}{24} E(\omega) + E(\tau) < E(\omega) + \frac{13}{24} E(\tau)$, which yields $E(\tau) < E(\omega)$. Thus (i) is proved. Proceeding in the similar way, (ii), (iii) and (iv) can be proved. Thus, the lemma is deduced. □

In the following theorem, we give the induction formula to determine the optimal sets of $n$-means for any $n \geq 2$. 
Theorem 3.10. For any $n \geq 2$, let $\alpha_n := \{a(i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be an optimal set of $n$-means, i.e., $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n := \mathcal{C}_n(P)$. For $\omega \in I^*$, let $E(\omega)$ and $E(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be defined by (4). Set

$$\tilde{E}(a(i)) := \begin{cases} E(\omega) & \text{if } a(i) = a(\omega) \text{ for some } \omega \in I^*, \\ E(\omega_1, \omega_2) & \text{if } a(i) = a(\omega_1, \omega_2) \text{ for some } \omega \in I^*, \end{cases}$$
and \( W(\alpha_n) := \{a(j) : a(j) \in \alpha_n \text{ and } \bar{E}(a(j)) \geq \bar{E}(a(i)) \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \} \). Take any \( a(j) \in W(\alpha_n) \), and write

\[
\alpha_{n+1}(a(j)) := \begin{cases} 
(\alpha_n \setminus \{a(j)\}) \cup \{a(1, \omega), a(\omega)\} & \text{if } a(j) = a(\omega), \\
(\alpha_n \setminus \{a(j)\}) \cup \{a(1, \omega_2), a(\omega_3)\} & \text{if } a(j) = a(\omega_1, \omega_2).
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( \alpha_{n+1}(a(j)) \) is an optimal set of \((n + 1)\)-means, and the number of such sets is given by

\[
\text{card}\left( \bigcup_{\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n} \{\alpha_{n+1}(a(j)) : a(j) \in W(\alpha_n)\} \right).
\]

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.3 we know that the optimal sets of two- and three-means are \( \{a(1,2), a(3)\} \) and \( \{a(1), a(2), a(3)\} \). Notice that by Lemma 3.8 we know \( E(1, 2) > E(3) \). Hence, the theorem is true for \( n = 2 \). For any \( n \geq 2 \), let us now assume that \( \alpha_n \) is an optimal set of \( n \)-means. Let \( \alpha_n := \{a(i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \). Let \( \bar{E}(a(i)) \) and \( W(\alpha_n) \) be defined as in the hypothesis. If \( a(j) \not\in W(\alpha_n) \), i.e., if \( a(j) \in \alpha_n \setminus W(\alpha_n) \), then by Lemma 3.9 the error

\[
\sum_{a(i) \in (\alpha_n \setminus \{a(j)\})} E(a(i)) + E(\omega_1, \omega_2) + E(\omega_3) \text{ if } a(j) = a(\omega),
\]

or

\[
\sum_{a(i) \in (\alpha_n \setminus \{a(j)\})} E(a(i)) + E(\omega_1) + E(\omega_2) \text{ if } a(j) = a(\omega_1, \omega_2),
\]

obtained in this case is strictly greater than the corresponding error obtained in the case when \( a(j) \in W(\alpha_n) \). Hence, for any \( a(j) \in W(\alpha_n) \), the set \( \alpha_{n+1}(a(j)) \), where

\[
\alpha_{n+1}(a(j)) := \begin{cases} 
(\alpha_n \setminus \{a(j)\}) \cup \{a(1, \omega), a(\omega)\} & \text{if } a(j) = a(\omega), \\
(\alpha_n \setminus \{a(j)\}) \cup \{a(1, \omega_2), a(\omega_3)\} & \text{if } a(j) = a(\omega_1, \omega_2).
\end{cases}
\]

is an optimal set of \((n + 1)\)-means, and the number of such sets is

\[
\text{card}\left( \bigcup_{\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n} \{\alpha_{n+1}(a(j)) : a(j) \in W(\alpha_n)\} \right).
\]

Thus, the proof of the theorem is complete. \( \Box \)

**Remark 3.11.** Once an optimal set of \( n \)-means is known, by using (2), the corresponding quantization error can easily be calculated.

Using the induction formula given by Theorem 3.10, we obtain some results and observations about the optimal sets of \( n \)-means, which are given in the following section.

4. Some Results and Observations

First, we explain about some notations that we are going to use in this section. Recall that the optimal set of one-mean consists of the expected value of the random variable \( X \), and the corresponding quantization error is its variance. Let \( \alpha_n \) be an optimal set of \( n \)-means, i.e., \( \alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n \), and then for any \( a \in \alpha_n \), we have \( a = a(\omega) \), or \( a = a(\omega_1, \omega_2) \) for some \( \omega \in I^k, k \geq 1 \). For any \( n \geq 2 \), if \( \text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n) = k \), we write

\[
\mathcal{C}_n = \begin{cases} 
\{\alpha_{n,1}, \alpha_{n,2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n,k}\} & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\
\{\alpha_n\} & \text{if } k = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

If \( \text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n) = k \) and \( \text{card}(\mathcal{C}_{n+1}) = m \), then either \( 1 \leq k \leq m \), or \( 1 \leq m \leq k \) (see Table 1). Moreover, by Theorem 3.10 an optimal set at stage \( n \) can contribute multiple distinct optimal sets at stage \( n + 1 \), and multiple distinct optimal sets at stage \( n \) can contribute one common optimal set at stage \( n + 1 \); for example from Table 1 one can see that the number of \( \alpha_{12} = 1 \), the number of \( \alpha_{13} = 4 \), the number of \( \alpha_{14} = 6 \), the number of \( \alpha_{15} = 4 \), and the number of \( \alpha_{16} = 1 \).
By $\alpha_{n,i} \rightarrow \alpha_{n+1,j}$, it is meant that the optimal set $\alpha_{n+1,j}$ at stage $n+1$ is obtained from the optimal set $\alpha_{n,i}$ at stage $n$, similar is the meaning for the notations $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha_{n+1,j}$, or $\alpha_{n,i} \rightarrow \alpha_{n+1}$, for example from Figure 3:

$$\{\alpha_{12} \rightarrow \alpha_{13,1}, \alpha_{12} \rightarrow \alpha_{13,2}, \alpha_{12} \rightarrow \alpha_{13,3}, \alpha_{12} \rightarrow \alpha_{13,4}\},$$

$$\{\{\alpha_{13,1} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,1}, \alpha_{13,1} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,2}, \alpha_{13,1} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,3}\}, \{\alpha_{13,2} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,1}, \alpha_{13,2} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,2}, \alpha_{13,2} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,3}\},$$

$$\{\{\alpha_{13,3} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,2}, \alpha_{13,3} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,3}, \alpha_{13,3} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,4}\}, \{\alpha_{13,4} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,4}, \alpha_{13,4} \rightarrow \alpha_{14,5}\}\}.$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\alpha_6 = \{a(1), a(2), a(31), a(32), a(333), a(331, 332)\} \text{ with } V_6 = \frac{3537}{563200} = 0.00628018;$$

$$\alpha_{7,1} = \{a(1), a(23), a(21, 22), a(31), a(32), a(333), a(331, 332)\};$$

$$\alpha_{7,2} = \{a(13), a(11, 12), a(2), a(31), a(32), a(333), a(331, 332)\}$$

with $V_7 = \frac{1521}{281600} = 0.00540128$;

$$\alpha_8 = \{a(13), a(11, 12), a(23), a(21, 22), a(31), a(32), a(333), a(331, 332)\}$$

with $V_8 = \frac{2547}{563200} = 0.00452237$;

$$\alpha_9 = \{a(13), a(11, 12), a(23), a(21, 22), a(31), a(32), a(333), a(331, 332)\}$$

with $V_9 = \frac{9171}{2816000} = 0.00325675$;

$$\alpha_{10,1} = \{a(13), a(11, 12), a(23), a(21), a(32), a(333), a(331), a(332)\};$$

$$\alpha_{10,2} = \{a(13), a(11), a(12), a(23), a(21, 22), a(31), a(32), a(333), a(331), a(332)\}$$

with $V_{10} = \frac{7191}{2816000} = 0.00255362$;

$$\alpha_{11} = \{a(13), a(11), a(12), a(23), a(21), a(32), a(333), a(331), a(332)\}$$

with $V_{11} = \frac{5211}{2816000} = 0.0018505$;

and so on.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>card($\mathcal{C}_n$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$\text{Table 1. Number of } \alpha_n \text{ in the range } 5 \leq n \leq 82.$$
Remark 4.1. By Theorem 3.10 we note that to obtain an optimal set of \((n + 1)\)-means one needs to know an optimal set of \(n\)-means. Unlike the probability distribution supported by the classical R-triangle (see [CR]), for the probability distribution supported by the nonhomogeneous R-triangle considered in this paper, to obtain the optimal sets of \(n\)-means a closed formula is not known yet.
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