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Abstract
Let \(V(n, d, q)\) stand for the \(q\)-ary Hamming spheres. Let \(C \subseteq (q)^n\) denote a tuple system such that \(C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} V(n, d_i, q)\), where \(d_1 < \ldots < d_s\). We give here a general upper bound on the size of a shattered sets of the tuple system \(C\).
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1 Introduction

First we introduce some notations.
Let \([n]\) stand for the set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\). We denote the family of all subsets of \([n]\) by \(2^{[n]}\).
Let \(q \geq 2\) denote a fixed integer throughout this paper. Let \((q)\) stand for the set \(\{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}\).
Let \(n > 0\), \(\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}\) be a family of subsets of \([n]\), and \(S\) be a subset of \([n]\). We say that \(\mathcal{F}\) shatters \(S\) if
\[
\{F \cap S : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 2^S.
\] (1)
Define
\[
\text{sh} (\mathcal{F}) = \{S \subseteq [n] : \mathcal{F} \text{ shatters } S\}.
\] (2)

The following well–known lemma was proved by Sauer in [2], and later independently by Vapnik and Chervonenkis in [5], and Perles and Shelah in [4].
Theorem 1.1 Supose that $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$ and let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be an arbitrary set family with no shattered set of size $s + 1$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{n}{i}.$$  

Subsets $\mathcal{V} \subseteq (q)^n$ will be called *tuple systems*. An element $v$ of a tuple system $\mathcal{V}$ can also be considered naturally as a function from $[n]$ to $(q)$.

Next we extend the usual binary notion of shattering. We say that the tuple system $\mathcal{V}$ **shatters** a set $S \subseteq [n]$, if

$$\{v|_S : v \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

is the set of all functions from $S$ to $(q)$. Here $v|_S$ denotes the restriction of the function $v$ to the set $S$.

We define

$$\text{Sh}(\mathcal{V}) := \{S \subseteq [n] : \mathcal{V} \text{ shatters } S\},$$  

the set of the shattered sets of the tuple system $\mathcal{V}$.

Clearly $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq 2^{[n]}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ is a set system, then we get

$$\text{sh}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Sh}(\{v_F \in 2^{(n)} : F \in \mathcal{F}\}).$$

We can also define the *$q$-ary Hamming spheres* $\mathcal{V}(n, d, q)$.

Let $0 \leq d \leq n$. Then

$$\mathcal{V}(n, d, q) := \{v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in (q)^n : |\{i \in [n] : v_i \neq 0\}| = d\}.$$  

Our main result is similar to the Singleton Bound [3].

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose that the tuple system $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (q)^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, d_i, q),$$  

where $q \leq d_1 < \ldots < d_s$. Define $t := \max_i(d_{i+1} - d_i)$, and $k := \min(d_s, n - t + 1)$. Then $|F| \leq k$ for each $F \in \text{sh}(\mathcal{C})$.

Clearly our main result is sharp, namely we can choose $\mathcal{V} := \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, i, q)$, where $1 \leq s \leq n$.

Now we state our new upper bound for tuple systems.
Corollary 1.3 Suppose that the tuple system $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (q)^n$ such that
\[ \mathcal{C} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^s \mathcal{V}(n, d_i, q), \quad (5) \]
where $d_1 < \ldots < d_s$. Define $t := \max_i (d_{i+1} - d_i)$, and $k := \min(d_s, n-t+1)$. Then
\[ |\mathcal{C}| \leq k \sum_{i=0}^k (q - 1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}. \]
The choice $\mathcal{V} := \bigcup_{i=0}^s \mathcal{V}(n, i, q)$, where $1 \leq s \leq n$, shows that this bound is sharp, too.

2 Proofs

We present here the proofs of our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $m := \max\{|F| : F \in \text{Sh} (\mathcal{C})\}$. It is clear that $m \leq d_s$. Namely, proving in an indirect way, suppose that $m > d_s$. Then there exists an $F \in \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $|F| = m$, and there exists a vector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ with
\[ v_i = q - 1 \text{ for each } i \in F. \]
Thus $|\{i \in [n] : v_i \neq 0\}| \geq |F| > d$, a contradiction with (4).

Let $\text{supp}(v) := \{i \in [n] : v_i \neq 0\}$ denote the support of the vector $v$.

Now we can suppose that the distance $t$ appears between the levels $d_i$ and $d_{i+1}$, i.e., $d_{i+1} - d_i = t$.

First suppose that $d_i \geq m$. Then $d_i + t = d_{i+1} \leq n$, thus $n-t \geq d_i$.

Proving in an indirect way, we suppose that $m \geq n - t + 2$, then $n-t \leq m-2$, consequently
\[ m \leq d_i \leq n - t \leq m - 2, \]
a contradiction.

Now consider the case $d_i + 1 \leq m$. Proving in an indirect way again, we suppose that $n-t+2 \leq m$. Clearly there exists an $F \in \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ with $|F| = m$.

Since $d_i + 1 \leq m$, hence there exists a $G \subseteq F$ with $|G| = d_i + 1$. The condition $F \in \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ means that there exists a vector $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ with
\[ v_i = \begin{cases} q-1 & \text{if } i \in G \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in F \setminus G. \end{cases} \]
Clearly
\[ |\text{supp}(v)| \geq d_{i+1}, \quad (6) \]
because \( G \subseteq \text{supp}(v) \), \( d_i + 1 = |G| \leq |\text{supp}(v)| \), and \( v \in C \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, d_i, q) \).
But then \( \text{supp}(v) \subseteq G \cup ([n] \setminus F) \), hence
\[ |\text{supp}(v)| \leq |G \cup ([n] \setminus F)| = |G| + n - |F| = d_i + 1 + n - m \]
and it follows from the indirect condition that
\[ |\text{supp}(v)| \leq d_i + 1 + t - 2 = d_i + t - 1 < d_{i+1}, \]
a contradiction with equation (6). \( \square \)

Karpovsky and Milman proved in [1, Theorem 2] a natural generalization of Sauer’s lemma for tuple systems.

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( 0 \leq s \leq n - 1 \) be an integer and let \( \mathcal{V} \subseteq (q)^n \) be a tuple system with no shattered set of size \( s + 1 \). Then
\[ |\mathcal{V}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} (q - 1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}. \]

\( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.3**
It follows obviously from Theorem 1.2 and 2.1
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